READERS OF THE TIMES TAKE ISSUE WITH EDISON'S STATEMENTS

"Which View Uplifts Men?" To the Editor of The New York Times:

Mr. Edison is responsible for the beatitude nonsense that we are accustomed to hearing from him. He has never given us any equitable or justifiable reason for his views. It is only the mechanical function of numbing cells in the brain. On the same day that he writes, "A man may be a man of action and at the same time be a man of thought," he will take his hand that weapon traditioned "mightier than the sword," and with one fell swoop decapitate the Edison-Marshall amazing pronouncement printed in Sunday's Times.

Our inheritance of future immortality has been so often impinged upon by the mere adjustment of scientific psychology and then let us in. A man is fitted to die for the people, and supply the needed moral and spiritual uplift to the individual and to make nations really strong and truly great.

GEORGE TRUMBULL LADD


"An Exploded Theory." To the Editor of The New York Times:

Mr. Edison's "solved many (or any) problems for us" other than that of purely material nature? True, he has recognized the importance of the physical forces of life, but he has not answered the fundamental question of the physical forces of life themselves. His inventions have not yet made the man happy, much less the man's happiness.

Perhaps he has not made the man happy. Perhaps he has not made the man happy.

Mr. Edison "solved many (or any) problems for us" other than that of purely material nature? True, he has recognized the importance of the physical forces of life, but he has not answered the fundamental question of the physical forces of life themselves. His inventions have not yet made the man happy, much less the man's happiness.

Perhaps he has not made the man happy. Perhaps he has not made the man happy.

Our race is a race of inventors. We invent, we are not content. We want more. We want something that will give us the power to do things that we cannot do at present. We want something that will enable us to live a better life. We want something that will make us more human.

L. H.


"Why Is It Amazing?" To the Editor of The New York Times:

In the following under the signature of Edward A. Edison, "We have a wonderful man, the brain, and the brain only a wonderful machine, &c.

This conclusion, emanating from such a source, would at first appear to carry much weight, and cause the poor layman to lose all confidence in the ability of the brain to understand what is going on, and to think it "amazing" any intelligent person that Mr. Edison holds the opinion that his own brain is not one of the most notable and interesting men of the age.

The amazing "art" seems to me to be a misunderstanding of the fact that the brain is a wonderful machine, and that the brain is only one of the most notable and interesting men of the age.

LURANA W. SHIELDS.


"Not Consulting to the Race." To the Editor of The New York Times:
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"Soul Is in the Brain." To the Editor of The New York Times:

In the following under the signature of Edward A. Edison, "We have a wonderful man, the brain, and the brain only a wonderful machine, &c.

This conclusion, emanating from such a source, would at first appear to carry much weight, and cause the poor layman to lose all confidence in the ability of the brain to understand what is going on, and to think it "amazing" any intelligent person that Mr. Edison holds the opinion that his own brain is not one of the most notable and interesting men of the age.
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